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Preface

The Organizing Committee of the 23rd International Symposium on Theoretical and Applied Linguistics (ISTAL 23) welcomes you to the city of Thessaloniki.

We were honored to receive many high quality submissions. We thank all scholars who submitted their work for choosing ISTAL 23 as the forum for their research and we owe a special debt to the abstract reviewers. We hope we have succeeded in putting together an interesting conference program.

We heartily thank our invited speakers, Artemis Alexiadou, Jóhanna Barðdal, Outi Bat-Eli, Dan Dediu, and Fanny Meunier. Our thanks also go to Nikolaos Lavidas and Alexander Bergs for organizing the workshop on “Historical Language Contact in English and beyond”, Artemij Keidan, Leonid Kulikov and Nikolaos Lavidas for the “Morpho-syntactic isoglosses in Indo-European: Diachrony, typology and linguistic areas” workshop, Marina Mattheoudakis for The Centre for Foreign Language Teaching workshop and Eleni Peristeri for the workshop on Linguistic and Cognitive Deficits in Developmental and Acquired Language Disorders.

We also thank the members of the Department of Theoretical and Applied Linguistics for their assistance, and especially our technician, Tasos Paschalis, for his support in organizing the technical aspects of the Symposium and his efforts to improve its website. Special thanks go to our sponsors: The Research Committee of the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, the Greek Applied Linguistics Association, and Monochromia. We are also grateful for the support of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, the Faculty of Education of the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, and the Goethe Institut Thessaloniki. Last we warmly thank our student volunteers, whose help is most appreciated.

We hope you will find ISTAL 23 both scientifically stimulating and socially enjoyable.
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Morpho-syntactic Isoglosses in Indo-European
ancient IE languages, near identity of agreement rules for conjoined NPs across virtually all IE languages, and the use of a linking element between head nouns and certain modifiers in some Balkan languages.

The most striking of these is the first phenomenon, reproduced famously in the Greek axiom *ta zōa trekhei* ‘the animals-pl. run-sg.’, common also to Vedic, Hittite, and Avestan (cf. Fortson 2010: 158). This rule is synchronically unmotivated and apparently archaic—and thus usually classified as a shared retention, traditionally irrelevant for subgrouping but important for reconstruction. Similarly, the agreement rules for conjoined NPs, constrained by the same factors in Ancient Greek and Latin (cf. Johnson 2014), with some discrepancies in the outcomes in Germanic and Indo-Iranian, can be understood as typologically natural based on similar patterns in the unrelated Bantu languages. Finally, the linking element in Albanian and Romanian, similar to the repetition of the article in Ancient Greek, cf. Alexiadou 2008, superficially appears to be a potential areal feature of Balkan languages, yet the same phenomenon has been observed in Scandinavian as well (Alexiadou 2006).

Agreement appears to be a less fruitful area for isoglosses, as developments are usually typologically predictable/common, but this paper raises the issue of whether certain agreement data can still be informative. Shared retentions in certain extreme contact situations might warrant proposing special “retentive” isoglosses (Friedman & Joseph forthcoming: Ch. 3.2.2.8). Typologically common developments as isoglosses can potentially identify groups of languages that share the same conditions necessary for change. Such isoglosses are perhaps only marginally relevant for dialectal grouping but are nonetheless useful in understanding the relationship between shared retentions, typology, reconstruction, and dialectology.
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New NP dependency marking in the “second generation” IE languages

**Artemij Keidan**

*Sapienza University of Rome*

artemij.keidan@uniroma1.it

Proto-Indo-European lacked a separate morphological marker for the lexical class of nominal modifiers. In other words, it had no specific endings for the adjectives as opposed to nouns. In languages without a distinct adjective class the adjectival semantics is divided between verbs and nouns: verbs encode certain qualities and properties and nouns modify other nouns, with or without morphological agreement. Some ancient IE languages, such as Vedic Sanskrit,
preserve the original state of affairs. In particular, in Sanskrit many actual nouns were able to change their syntactic/semantic gender in agreement with a head noun; so they exhibited the typical behavior of the adjectival modifiers. In the younger Indo-European languages, which could be called “second generation IE languages” (including Middle Indic, or Prakrits; Middle Iranian, Old Slavonic, and Old Germanic), we observe a common drift towards the morphological reinforcement of the modifier-to-head nominal agreement. In several cases this process caused the birth of a new morphologically marked lexical class of adjectives. In some languages the adjectives as modifiers were differentiated from the predicative adjectives. A frequent source of morphological material for this new morphological class was the pronominal declension as a whole, and, in particular, the cliticization of the 3rd person relative or demonstrative pronouns. Generally, the new adjectival endings were phonologically longer than the endings used in the old non-differentiated (thematic) declension. The most interesting instances of this innovation include the following.

- Prakrits: incorporation of some pronominal endings into adjectival paradigm; such forms as Locative in -e vs. those in -ammi are said to alternate freely or to appear metrical (see Pischel §366a, but a tendency for the use of longer endings to mark the attributive adjectives could be suggested (e.g. the Loc. NP sarisammi guṇe ‘similar quality’ in Hala’s Sattasaï 1.44).

- Khotanese: creation of a set of optional adjectival endings (Emmerick §§5, 27), of possible pronominal origin (Sims-Williams 278) and longer than those used in the nominal paradigm.

- Pahlavi: creation of the head-marking morpheme (traditionally called ezāfe) on the basis of the OP relative pronouns haya (in constructions of the type adam Bardiya ahamy haya Kurauš puça ‘I am Bardiya, REL Cyrus’s son” in DB 1.39, see Nyberg 105, cf. Ciancaglini 47), to be compared with such Av. relative constructions as stārām yam tiṣṭrim ‘the star named Tiṣṭriya’ (see Meillet 388).

- Slavic: creation of the new adjectival paradigm through the gradual agglutination of pronominal forms of the 3rd person demonstrative in je- that later were completely amalgamated, producing long adjectival endings (see Polivanova §§269–273, 868, Meillet §509).

- Germanic: creation of the “strong” (as opposed to “weak”) adjectives, having longer endings of pronominal origin and devoted to the modifier position.

- Greek: creation of the article from 3rd person pronouns that could be used in order to link a modifier to its head noun (cf. such constructions as ἄνὴρ ὁ σοφός ‘the wise man’ or τὸ βιβλίον τοῦ πατρὸς ‘the book of the father’, quite similar to the already discussed Avestan construction).

Meillet observes the similarity of this developments only with regard to Slavic adjectives and the relative construction in Avestan. In my opinion, however, a wider network of parallel developments should be individuated here, be it an areal phenomenon or a Sapirian drift.
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**Von den Sätzen zu den Wörtern: The neglected role of historical syntax for Germanic and Indo-European etymology**

*Guus Kroonen, Peter Alexander Kerkhof & Jóhanna Barðdal*

*Ghent University*

*guus@hum.ku.dk, g.j.kroonen@hum.leidenuniv.nl, Peter.Kerkhof@ugent.be, Johanna.Barðdal@ugent.be*


The existence of such argument structures raises the question of how old such structures are in the respective language branches of the Indo-European languages, if they are archaic, and whether they can be reconstructed back to a proto-stage. Our goal here is to add to the growing body of evidence showing that oblique subjects can be reconstructed for Proto-Germanic, which in turn raises interesting questions regarding the Proto-Indo-European pre-stage of the relevant verbal roots.

In a recent study Barðdal & Eythórsson (2012) have shown that in cases where a lexico-syntactic match extending over several ancient daughter languages can be found, projecting the argument structure back into the proto-stage of the language family certainly is both cogent and compelling. We illustrate several such lexico-syntactic matches and show how taking the non-canonical argument structure of these verbs into account may shed light on their Proto-Indo-European etymology and development, as their meaning is always less agentive than that of corresponding nominative subject constructions (cf. Bauer 2000, Barðdal 2004, Barðdal & Eythórs 2009, Danesi 2014).

We present several examples illustrating this development, from which there can be no doubt about the existence of non-canonical subjects in Proto-Germanic, as argued by Barðdal & Eythórsson (2012). High-quality lexico-syntactic matches between Germanic and other Indo-European branches are hard to find, but they certainly exist. Such matches are the key to understanding many otherwise obscure semantic shifts documented across the Indo-European phylum.
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